



Derek Lamb <liquidgands@gmail.com>

MHRA Adverse Incident Report - Maxtec EyeMax 2 - additional notes

Steve Nixon <office@viamed.co.uk>

31 January 2020 at 16:21

Reply-To: steve.nixon@viamed.co.uk

To: Franco Carrizo <fcarrizo@maxtec.com>

Cc: Bruce Brierley <bruce@maxtec.com>, Tammy Lavery <tlavery@maxtec.com>, Bob Kalish <bkalish@maxtec.com>, sjones@maxtec.com, ahollis@maxtec.com, Steve Hardaker <steve.hardaker@viamed.co.uk>

Hi Franco

If you wish I can send you a list of the invoice numbers and batches of EyeMax 2 supplied to the hospital concerned. It is worth noting that they have only purchased the top two sizes (Regular & Premie). In principle someone could have used a Premie EyeMax 2 on a 'Micro' sized patient. The probability also depends on the patient range that the department takes care of.

My colleague Steve Hardaker has been dealing with the hospital in the past. I have asked him to send you some notes of previous correspondence, please note that this is confidential for use between Viamed and Maxtec.

I'm not suggesting that this is related to the incident, but we have noticed recently that the adhesive used to apply the securing tabs doesn't appear to be as good as it used to be. The tabs do become loose or pull away slightly, especially when warm. This is not a criticism, I'm just trying to ensure that we cover all the bases.

- 1) Has the adhesive been changed?
- 2) Has the fixation method changed, i.e. from ultrasonic welded as opposed to glue adhesive?

Regards

Steve

Steve

--

Steve

Steve Nixon
Director - Viamed Ltd.

Office: +44 (0)1535 634542

Mobile: +44 (0)7850 252267

GDPR / Privacy Policy available at www.viamed.co.uk/policy

.