

**THIRD PARTY "SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE" (SE)**  
**DECISION MAKING DOCUMENTATION**

510(k) Holder's Name:  
 Primary Third Party Reviewer:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Print Name

Responsible Third Party Official:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Print Name and Title

Print Third Party Name

Yes\* No\*

1. Is product a device?			If NO = Stop
2. Is device subject to 510(k)?			If NO = Stop
3. Same indication statement?			If YES = Go To 5
4. Do differences alter the effect or raise new issues of safety or effectiveness?			If YES = Stop <b>NE</b>
5. Same technologies characteristics?			If YES = GO To 7
6. Could the new characteristics affect safety or effectiveness?			If YES = Go To 8
7. Descriptive characteristics precise enough?			If NO = Go To 10 If YES = Stop <b>SE</b>
8. New types of safety or effectiveness questions?			If YES = Stop <b>NE</b>
9. Accepted scientific methods exist?			If NO = Stop <b>NE</b>
10. Performance data available?			If NO = Request Data
11. Data demonstrate equivalence?			Final Decision:

\*Note: In addition to completing page 2, "yes" responses to questions 4, 6, 8, and 11, and every "no" response requires an explanation on page 3.