

KNOWING YOUR PULSE OXIMETRY MONITORS

The widespread use of pulse oximeters to continuously monitor oxygen saturation of arterial hemoglobin is recognized worldwide. Pulse oximetry is now considered a standard of care in anesthesiology and has significantly reduced anesthesia related cardiac deaths.¹ In the United States alone it is estimated that there are 300,000 pulse oximeters presently in use. A typical hospital may use several different makes and models of pulse oximeter, depending on the location and application. The 1994 Medical Device Register lists more than 35 companies that manufacture and distribute pulse oximeters in North America alone. Since the introduction of the first commercially viable pulse oximeter in 1983, for use in anesthesiology, the only practical way for a clinical engineer or biomedical technician to verify the performance of these devices was to use it on themselves. While there have been test methods available, until recently many of these test methods and apparatus were not practical.² With the tools now available, it is possible to verify and compare the performance of most pulse oximeters.

SCOTT W. ACKERMAN, ASEE and PETER WEITH, MBA, BS

A typical pulse oximeter finger probe has two LEDs, one that transmits infrared light at a wavelength of approximately 940 nm and the other transmitting light at approximately 660 nm. The absorption of these select wavelengths of light through living tissues is significantly different for Oxygenated Hemoglobin (HbO_2) and reduced Hemoglobin (Hb). It is this known relationship that makes it possible to calculate (HbO_2). The photo sensor shown in Figure 1 measures the absorption of the selected wavelengths of light passed through living tissue.

The pulse oximeter probe is a critical part of the measurement system and has been identified as the source of occasional measurement inaccuracies. Probes may be reusable or disposable, used on different body parts (ear, finger, scalp, etc.), and come in a variety of forms. A new development (from Medical Taping Systems) is the possible reuse of disposable probes. A patented technology has been developed to modify select commonly used disposable probes by developing a sterile shield and new adhesives for continued reuse. The purpose of this product is to reduce the cost to the healthcare institution through the extended life of lower cost disposable probes. This product recently received an FDA 510(k). If accepted and generally used, it will be important to verify that the modified probes work according to their original specifications throughout their life.

PULSING SCHEMES

The red and infrared LEDs within a particular probe are driven in different ways, depending on the manufacturer. Most probes have a single photodetector, so the light sources are generally sequenced on and off. Figure 2 shows an example of a typical pulsing scheme. To compensate for ambient light during the time when both LEDs are off, the light level is measured and then subtracted from each light channel between cycles. This minimizes the effects due to ambient conditions which may vary during monitoring.

Depending on the make and model of pulse oximeter, the drive currents of LED pulse widths, off and on cycles between pulses, and cycle times can all vary. Wide variations in pulsing schemes used by the various manufacturers optimize individual performance and avoid patent litigation. These design differences also lead to varying performance between models.

ABSORPTION

The output of the photodiode in a typical probe will have a raw signal that is represented in Figure 3. There will be one signal that represents the absorption of red light and one that represents infrared. The AC signal is due to the pulsing of arterial blood while the DC signal is due to all the non-pulsing absorbers in tissue. SpO_2 is

estimated from the ratio (R) of pulse-added red absorbance at 660 nm to the pulse-added infrared absorbances at 940 nm.

$$R = \frac{AC\ 660 / DC\ 660}{AC\ 940 / DC\ 940}$$

R-CURVES

The typical R-curve calibration of a pulse oximeter is shown in Figure 4. An R-curve is a collection of R value coefficients that range from approximately .4 to 3.5 that the pulse oximeter will display as an SpO₂ (0-100%) reading based on the calculated R value. An R value of 3.50 is an SpO₂ reading of 0%, while an R value of 1.00 is an SpO₂ reading of 85% and an R value of .4 is an SpO₂ reading of 100%. All R value and SpO₂ relationships are approximate.

MANUFACTURERS' ALGORITHMS AND CALIBRATION METHODS

There are several ways in which the pulse oximeter designer can obtain data for the calibration of the pulse oximeter. Traditionally, data for the calibration was obtained empirically through in-vivo experiments on human subjects. This produced a product that was clinically acceptable but comparison between manufacturers and even a manufacturer's own models revealed differences. The second method is the in-vitro test system which is closer to a "gold standard" than other methods.

IN-VIVO EXPERIMENTS

In-vivo experiments consist of lowering a live patient's saturation level and simultaneously comparing an arterial blood sample on a co-oximeter to a pulse oximeter attached to the patient. These experiments are good for obtaining data on a patient from 100% to 80% SpO₂, yet it is difficult to generate sufficient clinical data on a patient below 30%. Therefore, manufacturers using in-vivo test results might be forced to extrapolate their calibration curves below 80%. This creates the potential for saturation inaccuracies below 80%.

IN-VITRO TEST SYSTEMS

In-vitro test systems are quite elaborate systems that require whole blood, but ultimately produce the most clinically valid results (Figure 5). The test setup consists of a series of tubing that transfers whole blood




FIGURE 1. A typical pulse oximeter probe.




FIGURE 2. Typical pulsing of red and infrared LEDs by a pulse oximeter.




FIGURE 3. Illustrates the light absorption through living tissue.




FIGURE 4. Typical R-curve calibration of a pulse oximeter.




FIGURE 5. In-vitro test system for verifying pulse oximeters.

to a "model" finger. The pulse oximeter to be tested or calibrated is connected to the finger model. The blood within the system is pulsed using a blood pressure waveform simulator. The blood within the system is oxygenated using an external gas mixture of O_2 , N_2 , CO_2 , and a membrane or disk type oxygenator. This system allows the user to change the shape of the pressure waveform and vary the heart rate. The reading on the pulse oximeter is recorded and simultaneously a sample of blood from within the system is analyzed by a co-oximeter and compared with the reading on the pulse oximeter. This system yields a pulse oximeter calibration that is accurate down to 50% SpO_2 and lower. Most pulse oximeters have no specified accuracy below 50%.

WAVELENGTH OF LEDs

The R-curve or calibration of a pulse oximeter can be shifted or affected by the wavelength of the LEDs used in making the probe. LEDs of the same type from the same manufacturer can vary as much as ± 15 nm in wavelength. Certain manufacturers of pulse oximeters buy LEDs already screened to ± 1 nm. For the manufacturers that use LEDs with a ± 15 nm variation in wavelength, each probe is manufactured with a unique identifier. When these probes are plugged into a pulse oximeter, it identifies the probe and modifies the calibration factor. There can be as many as 30 different probes for a single manufacturer. The manufacturers of probes with tight tolerance LEDs don't face this problem. It should be realized that a pulse oximeter cannot detect a shift in wavelength in an LED.

Clinical studies clearly show significant performance differences between makes, especially below 80% or during profound hypoxia. As the manufacturer strives to improve performance in updated versions, users need to realize older products may still be in use.

ACCURACY AND PERFORMANCE OF PULSE OXIMETERS IN DIFFERENT CLINICAL SETTINGS

Pulse oximeters measure the presence of oxyhemoglobin (HbO_2) and reduced hemoglobin (Hb) only. Increased amounts of carboxyhemoglobin ($HbCO$) and methemoglobin ($MetHb$) will cause the pulse oximeter readings to be different than one produced by laboratory equipment or a co-oximeter.

Due to these differences, SpO₂ is now the abbreviation used for the measurement of SaO₂ by a two-wavelength pulse oximeter.

Some pulse oximeters handle HbCO and MetHb in their calibration in one of two ways. Some subtract 2% for these factors, others do not. This has led to the terms "fractional" and "functional" measurements of SpO₂. Fractional SpO₂ measurements are 2% lower than functional measurements. Clinicians should consider this if changing SpO₂ monitors when transferring patients, which is common practice. Both functional and fractional SpO₂ measurements produce reliable trending in the range of 80% to 100%, and a tolerance of 2% is acceptable performance.

From the AANA (American Association of Nurse Anesthetists) journal *Pulse Oximetry: Accuracy and Clinical Performance in Different Practice Settings*, "The inherent value of monitoring trends in oxygenation takes precedence over arguments about absolute accuracy, because laboratory analysis of blood gases is always warranted during crisis or doubt."

TEST METHODS FOR MONITORING PULSE OXIMETERS

Technician's finger: Since the early 1980s, when pulse oximeters became commonly used, clinical engineers and biomedical technicians had no other way to verify a pulse oximeter but to use their own finger. Obviously, an individual's own heart rate and SpO₂ level is not stable enough to be considered a calibration standard or capable of detecting a change in monitor performance. Pulse oximeters have an SpO₂ range from 50% to 100% and a heart rate range of 30 BPM to 250 BPM.

Electronic simulators: Some manufacturers of pulse oximeters offer electronic simulators that are specific to their pulse oximeter. The majority of hospitals own and use several different makes of pulse oximeters. These electronic simulators bypass the probe, the weakest link in the measurement system. In addition, they may only check the electronics of a pulse oximeter over a few SpO₂ simulations and heart rates, not over a complete range.

In-vitro test systems: As previously discussed, an in-vitro test system is quite elaborate and not practical for hospital personnel to use for routine testing. This is a lab setup that is not portable and requires oxygenated whole blood. However, this is a



FIGURE 6. Opto-electronic simulator INDEX.

"gold standard" for calibrating and testing a pulse oximeter over its complete range.

Opto-electronic simulators: Consistent with the universal acceptance of pulse oximetry monitors is a new generation of opto-electronic simulators that will allow verification of a pulse oximeter and probe over a complete range of SpO₂ and heart rate settings. Many anesthesiologists rely on the variable-pitch pulse oximeters to detect a change in oxygen saturation during a procedure, making it important to be able to verify the pulse oximeters used in the OR over a complete range of saturations and accompanying pitch changes. More importantly, since the more advanced simulators have on-board microcomputers, they are capable of simulating a wide range of patient conditions: weak pulses, and different skin pigmentations and tissue thicknesses. Preset patient conditions can help identify previously undetectable problems with pulse oximeters returned for routine service. When making prepurchase evaluation decisions these devices can aid the user by providing artifacts such as 50/60Hz fluorescent lights, sunlight, motion artifacts, and irregular-shaped pulses. Automatic test sequences and communication ports aid in documenting testing to help meet JCAHO (*Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations*) requirements.

CONCLUSIONS

The importance and universal application of pulse oximetry monitoring is clear and uncontested. While the technology itself is relatively well understood, each manufacturer's product has utilized the common fundamentals differently. These differences include probe variations, unique pulsing schemes, and proprietary algorithm and calibration methods. Recent reports from the FDA have shown measurement irregularities in the presence of motion artifact. Other irregularities such as pulse strength and ambient light have been shown to affect pulse oximeter results.

With the advent of opto-electronic simulators, field-based biomedical and clinical engineers can now adequately compare products under a wide variety of conditions. In addition, since the entire system can be tested, electronic versus probe problems can be segregated. Finally, documentation, preventative maintenance and quality assurance testing can be performed as prescribed. □

AVAILABLE STANDARDS FOR PULSE OXIMETERS

Guidelines and standards available for pulse oximeters are listed below. In addition, over 500 articles have been published on various issues related to pulse oximeters.

- American Society of Anesthesiologists, Standards for Basic Intra-Operative Monitoring, 1986 (0696-ASA).
- American Society of Anesthesiologists, Standards for Post-Anesthesia Care, 1989 (0697-ASA).
- American Society for Testing & Materials, Specifications for Pulse Oximeters, 1992 (F1415).
- International Organization for Standardization, Pulse Oximeters for Medical Use — Requirements, 1992 (ISO9919).

REFERENCES

1. Santamaria, Terrie, and Schlabig-Williams, Jill, Pulse Oximetry, *AAMI Medical Device Research Report*, Vol. 1, No. 2, March/April 1994.
2. Vegfors, M., Lindberg, L.G., Oberg, P.A., and Lennmarken, C., Accuracy of Pulse Oximetry at Various Hematocrits and During Hemolysis in an In Vitro Model, *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, March 1993.
3. Wukitsch, Michael W., Peterson, Michael T., Tobler, David R., and Pologe, Jonas A., Pulse Oximetry: Analysis of Theory, Technology and Practice, *Journal of Clinical Monitoring*, Vol. 4, No. 4, October 1988.

4. Pologe, Jonas A., Pulse Oximetry: Technical Aspects of Machine Design, *Int'l Anesthesiology Clinics*, Vol. 25, No. 3, Fall 1987.
5. Petty, Thomas L., M.D., *Clinical Pulse Oximetry*, Webb-Waring Lung Institute, 1986.
6. *AANA Journal*, Pulse Oximetry: Accuracy and Clinical Performance in Different Practice Settings, Vol. 57, No. 6, ISSN 0094-6354, December 1989.
7. Schulte, G. Todd, M.D., and Block, Frank E., Jr., M.D., Can People Hear the Pitch Change On A Variable-Pitch Pulse Oximeter?, *Journal of Clinical Monitoring*, Vol. 8, No. 3, July 1992.
8. Hannhart, B., Haberer, J.P., Saunier, C., and Laxenaire, M.C., Accuracy and Precision of Fourteen Pulse Oximeters, *Neonatal Intensive Care*, November/December 1991.
9. Reynolds, Karen J., Palayiwa, Eileen, Movie, John T.B., Sykes, M. Keith, and Hahn, Clive E.W., The Effects of Dyshemoglobins on Pulse Oximetry: Part I — Theoretical Approach, and Part II — Experimental Results Using an In Vitro Test System, *Journal of Clinical Monitoring*, 9:81-90, 1993.

THE AUTHORS



SCOTT ACKERMAN, ASEE, is a Technical Marketing Manager at Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc. He recently completed project management responsibilities for the development of a pulse oximetry simulator. Prior to that, he successfully introduced a noninvasive blood pressure simulator and IEC automated safety analyzer. He frequently lectures on the use and applications of biomedical test devices and is a member of AAMI. Mr. Ackerman attended Vermont Technical College.



PETER WEITH, MBA, BS is the Vice President of Marketing and Sales at Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc. He has served on the Editorial Board of *Biomedical Technology Today*, is an active member of AAMI, and has worked in the medical device field for the past 18 years. Mr. Weith attended the University of Vermont.

The authors can be contacted at Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc., P.O. Box 998, Winooski, VT 05404-0998, 802/655-4040, FAX 802/655-7941.