



John Lamb <viamed.john.lamb@gmail.com>

Phototherapy eye masks Project 29 44700

3 messages

John Lamb <john.lamb@viamed.co.uk>
To: Alexander Yin <alexander99@yahoo.com>

Mon, Sep 2, 2013 at 11:45 AM

Dear Alexander

We agree with your Class I classification.

However you should have a Classification Rationale in your Technical Files which explains how you arrived at that conclusion.

I believe it is Rule No 9

Kind regards

John

Alexander Yin <alexander99@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Alexander Yin <alexander99@yahoo.com>
To: John Lamb <john.lamb@viamed.co.uk>
Cc: "derek@lamb.uk.net" <derek@lamb.uk.net>

Tue, Sep 3, 2013 at 10:53 AM

Dear Mr.John,

Classification: Rule 1,No.3 ANNEX IX as AMENDED by MDD2007/47/EEC,According to MDD93/42/EEC,

Thanks&best regards,

Alexander

From: John Lamb <john.lamb@viamed.co.uk>
To: Alexander Yin <alexander99@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 2, 2013 6:45 PM
Subject: Phototherapy eye masks Project 29 44700

[Quoted text hidden]

John Lamb <john.lamb@viamed.co.uk>
To: Alexander Yin <alexander99@yahoo.com>

Tue, Sep 3, 2013 at 1:33 PM

Dear Alexander

I apologise you are correct.

Rule 9 applies to our photo therapy light shield which sits between the Photo-therapy light and the patient.

We have been in some doubt about eye-pads for some time.

However we concluded that if they are described as eye concluders they fall into our MHRA definition of Class 1

Kind regards

John

[Quoted text hidden]